Pietro Parravano President

David Allen Vice-President

John Greenville Secretary

Don Sherer Treasurer

## Please reply to:

Main Office

11 P.O. Box 989 Sausalito, CA 94966 Tel: (415) 332-5080 Fax: (415) 331-2722



W.F. "Zeke" Grader, Jr. Executive Director

> Nathaniel S. Bingham Habitat Director

> Glen H. Spain Northwest Regional Director

Mitch Farro APR 0 5 1996

Director of Enhancement Projects

Habitat Office 11 P.O. Box 783 Mendocino, CA 95460 Tel: (707) 937-4145 Fax: (707) 937-2617

Northwest Regional Office

[1 P.O. Box 11170 Eugene, OR 97440-3370 Tel: (503) 689-2000 Fax: (503) 689-2500

April 2, 1996

Mr. Lester Snow CALFED Bay-Delta Program 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155 Sacramento, CA 95814

Office of the President

El Granada, CA 94018

Fax: (415) 726-1607-3\*

Tel: (415) 726-1607

M. P.O. Box 340

Dear Lester:

The purpose of this letter is twofold-one is to ask for clarification on an issue arising from the March 21 BDAC meeting and the other is a comment on the draft alternatives.

During the presentation given by Dick Daniels, there was reference made to excluding utilization of a numerical matrix consisting of fish and ducks to determine habitat strategy. He also said that CALFED will incorporate fish plans and habitat concerns that are part of the CVPIA and also of the California Department of Fish & Game. Because these statements are inherently contrary in policy, I asked him for clarification. One of my questions to him was whether he agreed with the salmon doubling plan that is mandated in the CVPIA. I feel that his response was not adequate enough for me to be convinced that he agreed with the salmon doubling plan and in fact his response had some troublesome overtones. This is what brings me to ask you for a clarification--was he responding as an individual or as spokesperson of CALFED? You and I both know that the policy statements of CALFED have to adhere to the Federal and State regulations, it is obvious that any deviations from these regulations would have substantial negative reflections and also would undermine the objectives of the CVPIA. As we all review the draft alternatives it is crucial that the framework of the alternatives allow for adhering to the objectives of the CVPIA, including the salmon doubling plan.

I also want to comment on an item that was in our March 21 meeting package-top of page 13, Box 3 of Core Actions. There is reference here to regulating only the commercial take. Both Mr. Roger Thomas and I have spoken to you before about not singling out commercial fishing. Instead, we suggested that you use words that refer to all fisheries.

I am enclosing a copy of the CDFG code that addresses the issue of the salmon doubling plan. The issues that I bring up here are very germane to our industry therefore it is important that you clarify the statements that were said at the meeting.

> ! Parravam Pietro Parravano



STEWARDS OF THE FISHERIES